


UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/841,430	04/24/2001	Scott Lee Wellington	5659-09600/EBM	3855	
7590 12/12/2003			EXAM	INER	
DEL CHRISTENSEN			SUCHFIELD, GEORGE A		
SHELL OIL C P.O. BOX 246			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77252-2463			3672		

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	i .							
		<b>Application No.</b> 09/841,430		WELLINGTON ET AL.				
(ر	Office Action Comments							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		George Such		3672				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the co	ver sneet with the c	orrespondence ad	aaress			
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failurian - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h  ly within the statutory will apply and will exp e, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	October 2003 .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ The	his action is nor	ı-final.					
3)∏ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims				ne merits is			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 531-556,558-609,623-625,665-706	<u>and 5150-5194</u>	is/are pending in t	he application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consid	eration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>570-609,623-625,665-706 and 5150-5194</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>531-556 and 558-569</u> is/are rejected	<b>l.</b>						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	irement.					
• •	on Papers							
· —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		_					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2003 is/are: a)							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , , , , ,		ved by the Examir	ner.			
40)□	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •	action.					
•—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xamıner.						
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen							
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14)⊠ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisiona	al application).			
	)  The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes							
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	4)   5)   27,28 . 6)		/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (Pੋ				
				· · · <u></u>				



Art Unit: 3672

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 531-556 and 558-569 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 176-213 of copending application No. 09/841638

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from other. In this regard, claim 185, currently pending in S.N. 09/841,638, presently allowed, is an obvious variation of claim 531 pending herein. More specifically, both '638 claim 185 and claim 531, pending herein, call for treating a coal formation by providing heat from one or more heaters, controlling a pressure and temperature in the formation wherein the pressure is controlled as a function of temperature, and the temperature is controlled as a function of pressure, and the controlled pressure is maintained at least about 2.0 bar absolute, and wherein the process of claim 531 can be construed broadly enough to encompass the additional limitation in the '638 claim 185 of allowing the heat to transfer "directly". Otherwise, claims 532-556 and 558-569 pending herein appear to essentially correspond to claims 177-184 and 186-213 of the copending '638 application.

Art Unit: 3672

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's remarks regarding the obviousness double patenting rejection(s), a terminal disclaimer has now been received and approved, thus overcoming the obviousness double patenting rejection based on S.N. 09/841,437. Such disclaimer, however, does not list the other relied-upon copending application, S.N. 09/841,638, nor is there an additional terminal disclaimer directed to S.N. 09/841,638. Accordingly, the double patenting rejection remains outstanding, as set forth above.

4. Claims 570-609, 623-625, 665-706 and 5150-5194 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Suchfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2152.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 - 3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 703-308-2151. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3672

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

George Suchfield Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

gs December 9, 2003